

1 “Company”), analyst reports and advisories concerning the Company, and
2 information readily available on the Internet. Plaintiff believes that substantial
3 additional evidentiary support will exist for the allegations set forth herein after a
4 reasonable opportunity for discovery.

5 NATURE OF THE ACTION

6 1. This is a federal securities class action brought on behalf of a class
7 consisting of all persons and entities, other than Defendants, that purchased or
8 otherwise acquired D-Wave securities between February 26, 2026 and August 25,
9 2026, inclusive (the “Class Period”), seeking to recover damages caused by
10 Defendants’ violations of the federal securities laws and to pursue remedies under
11 Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange
12 Act”) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, against the Company and certain of its
13 senior officers.

14 2. D-Wave is a company engaged in quantum computing.

15 3. Throughout the Class Period, Defendants made materially false
16 and/or misleading statements concerning the Company’s business, operations, and
17 compliance policies. Specifically, Defendants made false and/or misleading
18 statements and/or failed to disclose that: (i) Defendants materially overstated D-
19 Wave’s business and financial prospects; (ii) the foregoing was reasonably likely to
20 negatively impact the Company’s business and reputation; and (iii) as a result,
21 Defendants’ public statements were materially false and misleading at all relevant
22 times.

23 4. The truth started to emerge on August 6, 2026, when D-Wave
24 announced its financial results for the second quarter of 2026. Among other things,
25 D-Wave reported revenue of just \$3.08 million, compared to \$3.1 million for the
26 same period in the prior year, falling short of analyst expectations ranging from \$4.03
27 million to \$4.08 million.

28 5. On this news, D-Wave’s stock price declined \$1.99 per share, or
9.28%, to close at \$19.41 per share on August 6, 2026.

1 common stock trades in an efficient market on the New York Stock Exchange
2 (“NYSE”) under the ticker symbol “QBTS.”

3 15. Defendant Alan Baratz (“Baratz”) was, at all relevant times, D-
4 Wave’s Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) and President.

5 16. Defendant John M. Markovich (“Markovich”) was, at all relevant
6 times, D-Wave’s Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”).

7 17. Defendants Baratz and Markovich are sometimes referred to
8 collectively herein as the “Individual Defendants.”

9 18. The Individual Defendants possessed the power and authority to
10 control the contents of D-Wave’s SEC filings, press releases, and other market
11 communications. The Individual Defendants were provided with copies of D-Wave’s
12 SEC filings and press releases alleged herein to be misleading before, or shortly after,
13 their issuance and had the ability and opportunity to prevent their issuance or to cause
14 them to be corrected. Because of their positions with D-Wave and their access to
15 material information unavailable to the public, the Individual Defendants knew that
16 the adverse facts specified herein had not been disclosed to, and were being concealed
17 from, the public, and that the positive representations being made were then
18 materially false and misleading. The Individual Defendants are liable for the false
19 statements and omissions pleaded herein.

20 19. D-Wave and the Individual Defendants are referred to collectively
21 herein as “Defendants.”

22 SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

23 **Background**

24 20. D-Wave operates as a quantum computing company.

25 21. The Company generates revenue from three principal sources:
26 quantum computing as a service (“QCaaS”), which provides cloud-based access to its
27 quantum computing systems; professional services; and sales of its quantum
28 computing systems.

Materially False and Misleading Statements

1 22. The Class Period begins on February 26, 2026, when D-Wave
2 issued a press release announcing its financial and operating results for the fourth
3 quarter and full year 2025. The press release stated, in pertinent part:

4 “Our 2025 results mark one of the most successful and transformative years in
5 D-Wave’s history, with meaningful growth across every key business metric —
6 revenue, Bookings, technical milestones, and scientific breakthroughs,” said Dr. Alan
7 Baratz, CEO of D-Wave. “We are entering 2026 with exceptional momentum:
8 generating over \$30 million in Bookings in January alone, expanding our market
9 leadership through the acquisition of gate-model quantum computing company
10 Quantum Circuits, Inc., and securing an eight-figure enterprise QCaaS agreement that
11 underscores growing customer confidence in our technology’s power to transform
12 enterprise operations. 2026 is shaping up to be a defining year for D-Wave.”

13 23. In the same press release, the Company also stated:

14 Announced the formation of a new business unit dedicated to driving the
15 adoption of D-Wave’s quantum computing products and services within the U.S.
16 government. The unit is led by seasoned government and public sector business
17 executive Jack Sears Jr., who brings more than 25 years of experience in developing
18 and executing organizational growth strategies for companies serving the federal
19 government in the defense and aerospace industries.

20 24. On May 12, 2026, D-Wave issued a press release reporting its
21 financial and operating results for the first quarter ended March 31, 2026. That press
22 release stated, in pertinent part:

23 “D-Wave’s first quarter performance highlights what sets this company apart:
24 strong execution, expanding commercial adoption, and differentiated technology
25 leadership across both annealing and gate model quantum computing,” said Dr. Alan
26 Baratz, CEO of D-Wave. “As the only quantum computing company pursuing both
27 annealing and gate-model quantum computing systems, we believe that D-Wave is
28 uniquely positioned to participate in the full addressable quantum computing market.
Our acquisition of Quantum Circuits is expected to meaningfully accelerate our
delivery of a scalable, error-corrected gate model system, while our record-setting

1 \$10 million quantum computing as a service agreement with a Fortune 100 company
2 reinforced growing demand for our annealing systems. We believe that D-Wave's
3 combination of commercial proof, technical breadth, and a differentiated path to gate-
4 model error correction and scaling will be increasingly prominent as this market
5 matures."

6 25. The statements referenced in ¶¶ 22-24 were materially false and
7 misleading because Defendants made false and/or misleading statements, and failed
8 to disclose material adverse facts, regarding the Company's business, operations, and
9 compliance policies. Specifically, Defendants made false and/or misleading
10 statements and/or failed to disclose that: (i) Defendants materially overstated D-
11 Wave's operational and financial prospects; (ii) the foregoing was reasonably likely
12 to negatively impact the Company's business and reputation; and (iii) as a result,
13 Defendants' public statements were materially false and misleading at all relevant
14 times.

15 **The Truth Begins to Emerge**

16 26. The truth started to emerge on August 6, 2026, when D-Wave
17 announced its financial results for the second quarter of 2026. Among other things,
18 D-Wave reported revenue of just \$3.08 million, compared to \$3.1 million for the
19 same period in the prior year, falling short of analyst expectations ranging from \$4.03
20 million to \$4.08 million.

21 27. On this news, D-Wave's stock price declined \$1.99 per share, or
22 9.28%, to close at \$19.41 per share on August 6, 2026.

23 28. Then, on August 25, 2026, D-Wave issued a press release
24 "announc[ing] that John Markovich is retiring and thus resigning from his position as
25 Chief Financial Officer effective September 2, 2026."

26 29. On this news, D-Wave's stock price fell \$1.84 per share, or
27 9.51%, closing at \$17.51 per share on August 26, 2026.

28 30. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, and the
sharp decline in the market value of the Company's securities, Plaintiff and other
Class members have suffered significant losses and damages.

SCIENTER ALLEGATIONS

31. During the Class Period, Defendants had both the motive and the opportunity to commit fraud. They also had actual knowledge of the misleading nature of the statements they made, or acted with reckless disregard for the true information known to them at the time. In so doing, Defendants participated in a scheme to defraud and committed acts, practices, and a course of business that operated as a fraud or deceit on purchasers of the Company's securities during the Class Period.

PLAINTIFF'S CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

32. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of a Class consisting of all persons and entities that purchased or otherwise acquired D-Wave securities during the Class Period and were damaged upon the revelation of the alleged corrective disclosures (the "Class"). Excluded from the Class are Defendants, the officers and directors of the Company at all relevant times, members of their immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors, or assigns, and any entity in which Defendants have or had a controlling interest.

33. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Throughout the Class Period, D-Wave securities were actively traded on the NYSE. Although the exact number of Class members is presently unknown to Plaintiff and can be ascertained only through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff believes that the proposed Class includes hundreds or thousands of members. Record owners and other Class members may be identified from records maintained by D-Wave or its transfer agent and may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail, using a form of notice similar to that customarily used in securities class actions.

34. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other Class members, as all members of the Class were similarly affected by Defendants' wrongful conduct in violation of federal law that is complained of herein.

1 35. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the
2 Class members and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class action
3 and securities litigation. Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to, or in conflict with,
4 those of the Class.

5 36. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all Class members
6 and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual Class members.
7 Among the questions of law and fact common to the Class are:

- 8 • whether Defendants' acts as alleged herein violated the federal securities
9 laws;
- 10 • whether statements made by Defendants to the investing public during
11 the Class Period misrepresented material facts about the business, operations, and
12 management of D-Wave;
- 13 • whether the Individual Defendants caused D-Wave to issue false and
14 misleading financial statements during the Class Period;
- 15 • whether Defendants acted knowingly or recklessly in issuing false and
16 misleading financial statements;
- 17 • whether the prices of D-Wave securities during the Class Period were
18 artificially inflated because of Defendants' conduct complained of herein; and
- 19 • whether the Class members have sustained damages and, if so, the
20 proper measure of damages.

21 37. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair
22 and efficient adjudication of this controversy because joinder of all members is
23 impracticable. Furthermore, because the damages suffered by individual Class
24 members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation
25 make it impossible for Class members to individually redress the wrongs done to
26 them. There will be no difficulty in managing this action as a class action.

27 38. Plaintiff will rely, in part, upon the presumption of reliance
28 established by the fraud-on-the-market doctrine in that:

- Defendants made public misrepresentations or failed to disclose material
facts during the Class Period;

- 1 • the omissions and misrepresentations were material;
- 2 • D-Wave securities traded in an efficient market;
- 3 • the Company's shares were liquid and traded with moderate to heavy
- 4 volume during the Class Period;
- 5 • the Company traded on the NYSE and was followed by multiple
- 6 analysts;
- 7 • the misrepresentations and omissions alleged would tend to induce a
- 8 reasonable investor to misjudge the value of the Company's securities; and
- 9 • Plaintiff and the Class members purchased, acquired and/or sold D-
- 10 Wave securities between the time Defendants failed to disclose or misrepresented
- 11 material facts and the time the true facts were disclosed, without knowledge of the
- 12 omitted or misrepresented facts.

13 39. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff and the Class members are

14 entitled to a presumption of reliance upon the integrity of the market.

15 40. Alternatively, Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled to the

16 presumption of reliance established by the Supreme Court in *Affiliated Ute Citizens of*

17 *the State of Utah v. United States*, 406 U.S. 128, 92 S. Ct. 2430 (1972), because

18 Defendants omitted material information in their Class Period statements in violation

19 of a duty to disclose such information, as detailed above.

20 COUNT I

21 (Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Promulgated 22 Thereunder Against All Defendants)

23 41. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth

24 above as if fully set forth herein.

25 42. This Count is asserted against all Defendants and is based upon

26 Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 promulgated

27 thereunder by the SEC.

28 43. During the Class Period, Defendants carried out a plan, scheme, conspiracy, and course of conduct pursuant to which they knowingly or recklessly engaged in acts, transactions, practices, and courses of business that operated as a

1 fraud and deceit upon Plaintiff and the other Class members; made various untrue
2 statements of material fact and omitted to state material facts necessary to make the
3 statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not
4 misleading; and employed devices, schemes, and artifices to defraud in connection
5 with the purchase and sale of securities. This scheme was intended to, and throughout
6 the Class Period did: (i) deceive the investing public, including Plaintiff and other
7 Class members, as alleged herein; (ii) artificially inflate and maintain the market price
8 of D-Wave securities; and (iii) cause Plaintiff and other Class members to purchase or
9 otherwise acquire D-Wave securities and options at artificially inflated prices. In
10 furtherance of this unlawful scheme, plan, and course of conduct, Defendants, and
11 each of them, took the actions set forth herein.

12 44. Pursuant to the above plan, scheme, conspiracy, and course of
13 conduct, each Defendant participated directly or indirectly in the preparation and/or
14 issuance of the quarterly and annual reports, SEC filings, press releases, and other
15 statements and documents described above, including statements made to securities
16 analysts and the media that were designed to influence the market for D-Wave
17 securities. These reports, filings, releases, and statements were materially false and
18 misleading in that they failed to disclose material adverse information and
19 misrepresented the truth about D-Wave's finances and business prospects.

20 45. By virtue of their positions at D-Wave, Defendants had actual
21 knowledge of the materially false and misleading statements and material omissions
22 alleged herein and intended thereby to deceive Plaintiff and the other Class members
23 or, in the alternative, Defendants acted with reckless disregard for the truth in that
24 they failed or refused to ascertain and disclose facts that would have revealed the
25 materially false and misleading nature of the statements made, although such facts
26 were readily available to Defendants. These acts and omissions of Defendants were
27 committed willfully or with reckless disregard for the truth. In addition, each
28 Defendant knew or recklessly disregarded that material facts were being
misrepresented or omitted as described above.

1 46. Information showing that Defendants acted knowingly or with
2 reckless disregard for the truth is peculiarly within Defendants' knowledge and
3 control. As senior managers and/or directors of D-Wave, the Individual Defendants
4 had knowledge of the details of D-Wave's internal affairs.

5 47. The Individual Defendants are liable both directly and indirectly
6 for the wrongs complained of herein. Because of their positions of control and
7 authority, the Individual Defendants were able to, and did, directly or indirectly,
8 control the content of D-Wave's statements. As officers and/or directors of a publicly
9 held company, the Individual Defendants had a duty to disseminate timely, accurate,
10 and truthful information regarding D-Wave's business, operations, future financial
11 condition, and future prospects. As a result of the dissemination of the
12 aforementioned false and misleading reports, releases, and public statements, the
13 market price of D-Wave securities was artificially inflated throughout the Class
14 Period. Unaware of the adverse facts concerning D-Wave's business and financial
15 condition that Defendants concealed, Plaintiff and the other Class members purchased
16 or otherwise acquired D-Wave securities at artificially inflated prices and relied upon
17 the price of the securities, the integrity of the market for the securities, and/or the
18 statements disseminated by Defendants, and were damaged thereby.

19 48. During the Class Period, D-Wave securities traded in an active
20 and efficient market. Plaintiff and the other Class members, relying on the materially
21 false and misleading statements described herein, which Defendants made, issued, or
22 caused to be disseminated, or relying upon the integrity of the market, purchased or
23 otherwise acquired D-Wave securities at prices artificially inflated by Defendants'
24 wrongful conduct. Had Plaintiff and the other Class members known the truth, they
25 would not have purchased or otherwise acquired those securities, or would not have
26 purchased or otherwise acquired them at the inflated prices paid. At the time of the
27 purchases and/or acquisitions by Plaintiff and the Class, the true value of D-Wave
28 securities was substantially lower than the prices paid by Plaintiff and the other Class
members. The market price of D-Wave securities declined sharply upon public
disclosure of the facts alleged herein, to the injury of Plaintiff and the Class members.

1 meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. In this capacity, they participated in
2 the unlawful conduct alleged herein, which artificially inflated the market price of D-
3 Wave securities.

4 55. Each of the Individual Defendants therefore acted as a controlling
5 person of D-Wave. By reason of their senior management positions and/or
6 directorships at D-Wave, each of the Individual Defendants had the power to direct
7 the actions of, and exercised that power to cause, D-Wave to engage in the unlawful
8 acts and conduct complained of herein. Each of the Individual Defendants exercised
9 control over the general operations of D-Wave and possessed the power to control the
10 specific activities that comprise the primary violations about which Plaintiff and the
11 other Class members complain.

12 56. By reason of the foregoing conduct, the Individual Defendants are
13 liable under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act for the violations committed by D-
14 Wave.

15 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

16 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as
17 follows:

18 A. Determining that this action may be maintained as a class action
19 under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and certifying Plaintiff as
20 Class representative;

21 B. Requiring Defendants to pay damages sustained by Plaintiff and
22 the Class by reason of the acts and transactions alleged herein;

23 C. Awarding Plaintiff and the other Class members pre-judgment and
24 post-judgment interest, as well as their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees, and
25 other costs; and

26 D. Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just
27 and proper.

28 **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

 Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

1 Dated: October 15, 2026

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
